

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 97-2481

STEPHEN F. NARDI, d/b/a Recreational Design
Corporation and t/a Recreational Design
Corporation,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

THE CINCINNATI INSURANCE COMPANY; RAYMOND SHO-
WALTER; DORIS SHOWALTER; DAVID BOWMAN; ROBERT
GRAVES; SCOTT GRAVES; RICK BROWN; MICHAEL B.
WARE; THOMAS EUBANK; HOLMES C. HARRISON; JOHN
B. EARLE; NATHAN H. MILLER; GREG ST. OURS;
MORGAN E. SCOTT, JR., Clerk, U. S. District
Court; WAUGH B. CRIGLER, U. S. Magistrate
Judge; JAMES MICHAEL, Judge; J. HARVIE
WILKINSON, III, Judge; JUDGE MURNAGHAN; JUDGE
WILLIAMS; JUDGE BUTZNER,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western
District of Virginia, at Harrisonburg. Samuel G. Wilson, Chief
District Judge. (CA-97-22-H)

Submitted: December 18, 1997

Decided: February 4, 1998

Before WILKINS, NIEMEYER, and HAMILTON, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Stephen F. Nardi, Appellant Pro Se. James Rudy Austin, Leisa Kube Ciaffone, GENTRY, LOCKE, RAKES & MOORE, Roanoke, Virginia; Colin James Steuart Thomas, III, TIMBERLAKE, SMITH, THOMAS & MOSES, P.C., Staunton, Virginia; Kevin William Grierson, JONES, BLECHMAN, WOLTZ & KELLY, P.C., Newport News, Virginia; Holmes Conrad Harrison, III, HARRISON, THUMMA & STARK, Harrisonburg, Virginia; Nathan Huff Miller, MILLER, RALSTON & EARLE, P.L.L.C., Harrisonburg, Virginia; Frank B. Miller, III, Margaret Frances Hardy, SANDS, ANDERSON, MARKS & MILLER, Richmond, Virginia; John Francis Corcoran, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Roanoke, Virginia, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals the district court's order dismissing his copyright infringement suit and assessing sanctions against him under Fed. R. Civ. P. 11. Our review of the record and the district court's opinion discloses no reversible error. Accordingly, we deny Nardi's motion for default judgment and affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Nardi v. Cincinnati Ins. Co., No. CA-97-22-H (W.D. Va. Aug. 28, 1997). We deny Appellee's motion for sanctions and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED